## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,402	08/22/2003	Martin Kiesel	1140668-0015 CON	9503
7470 7590 01/29/2007 WHITE & CASE LLP			EXAMINER	
PATENT DEF	PARTMENT		CABRERA, ZOILA E	
1155 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			2125	
SHORTENED STATUTO	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 M(	ONTHS	01/29/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/646,402	KIESEL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Zoila E. Cabrera	2125				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  16(a) apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  17(a) cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Ja	nuary 2007					
	action is non-final.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
· ·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
Disposition of Claims						
	I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
		7.00.01.07.10.117.10.102.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priori application from the list of the priori application from the International Bureau</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haseley et al. (US 5,602,757) in view of Hays et al. (US 6,330,525).

Claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by **Haseley et al. (US 5,602,757)**.

Haseley discloses an electronic fingerprint apparatus for a machine, comprising:

e an automation component comprising a controller for controlling movements of at least one component of the machine (Col. 5, lines 17-26, i.e., action commands may be generated by the microcontroller to a monitored machine), the automation component adapted for capturing electronic fingerprints representative of a state of the machine (Col. 5, lines 4-9; Col. 7, lines 14-17 and lines 23-28, i.e., vibration signatures are generated from collected vibration data. Please note that "signature" or "footprint" are measurements in a machine that are characteristic of and document the behavior, or vibration, of the machine, which is the definition of "electronic fingerprints" in Specification, Page 1 of the present invention; see also Col. 1, lines 17-20); and the automation component further comprising a fingerprint device for selecting for measurement a plurality of

Art Unit: 2125

movements of the machine to generate an electronic fingerprint that is representative of a condition of the machine (Col. 3, lines 22-26, i.e., sensor to measure vibration; Col. 5, lines 22-30, i.e., each sensor provides vibration data to produce vibration signatures which are used to monitor fault conditions of the machine).

As for claims 2-6, Haseley discloses,

- the automation component is <u>selected from the group consisting of</u> a numeric control, a motion controller, a programmable logic controller <u>or</u> an intelligent drive (Col. 4, lines 11-15, i.e. vibration monitoring system);
- the automation component and the corresponding engineering system provide a program platform/environment for the implementation of electronic fingerprints by an application engineer (Col. 6, lines 57-61; Col. 6, lines 8-19);
- an engineering system corresponding to the automation component, wherein implementation of the fingerprints is done by <u>at least one of</u> a configuration process in the engineering system and a programming process using a specific API for the implementation of fingerprints (Col. 7, lines 13-16; Col. 4, lines 65-67);
- the start of capturing the fingerprints is done by <u>an action selected from the</u>

  <u>group consisting of</u> starting by local user via local HMI and starting by remote

  user via Ethernet/Internet; and starting based on an event evaluated in an

  application program running in the automation component (Col. 7, lines 13-16;

  Col. 6, lines 7-19 and lines 33-40);

the apparatus is used for a <u>machine selected from the group consisting of</u>
machine tools, packaging machines, a rubber-working machines; plastic-working
machines; printing presses; woodworking machines; glassmaking machines;
ceramic-working machines; stoneworking machines; textile machines; robotic
manufacturing machines and material handling machines (Col. 3, lines 10-17).

As for claims 7 and 18, Haseley discloses,

 the fingerprint device and the automation component generate an electronic fingerprint that is generic to a type of machine tool that indicates a stable behavior of the machine tool (Col. 6, lines 50-53 and lines 22-28).

As for claims 8 and 19,

 the fingerprint device and the automation component generates an electronic fingerprint having a deviation from the stable behavior, thereby indicating an unstable behavior of the machine (Col. 6, lines 29-32 and lines 54-56; Col. 5, lines 22-30).

As for claims 9 and 20,

the fingerprint device and the automation component generates a specific
fingerprint of a particular production machine that is representative of a state of
at least one the outputs of the particular production machine and the stable
behavior of the machine (Col. 6, lines 22-28 and lines 50-53).

As for claims 10 and 21,

 a graphical user interface for displaying a graphical depiction of the electronic fingerprint (Col. 5, lines 1-4). Art Unit: 2125

As for claims 11,

the fingerprint device is adapted for generating a periodic electronic fingerprint
that is developed from a snap shot of the state of the machine at a certain time
(Col. 6, lines 57-58, i.e., vibration data is collected at user selected time
intervals).

As for claims 12 and 22,

 an application for comparing the electronic fingerprints over time (Col. 7, lines 23-28 and lines 35-40).

As for claims 13 and 25,

 a memory for storing the electronic fingerprints as a database (Col. 7, lines 23-28, data memory 38).

As for claims 15 and 24,

 a remote communication capability that couples the machine to a remote processor (Col. 4, lines 28-37).

As for claim 16,

 the electronic fingerprint is downloaded over the remote communication to the remote processor (Col. 4, lines 28-37, i.e., communication between a remote field monitor and the vibration monitoring system would allow transfer of vibration data).

As for claim 17, **Haseley** discloses a method for generating electronic fingerprints for measuring a state of a machine, the method comprising the steps of:

• selecting for measurement parameters associated with <u>at least one</u>-component of the machine (Col. 4, lines 59-65; Fig. 2, footprint or signature with parameters being frequency and velocity; Col. 5, lines 22-28; Col. 3, lines 24-26, sensors may be accelerometers or any other type of sensors used to measure vibration), that are representative of a condition of the machine (Col. 3, lines 22-26, i.e., sensor to measure vibration; Col. 5, lines 22-30, i.e., each sensor provides vibration data to produce vibration signatures which are used to monitor fault conditions of the machine); reading the parameters; and storing the read parameters (Col. 6, lines 65-67), thereby creating an electronic fingerprint representative of a condition of the machine (Col. 7, lines 13-16 and lines 22-28 and lines 35-40).

**Haseley** discloses most of the limitations of claims 1, 17 and 25 above, but fails to disclose some limitations of claims 1, 17, and 25 and the limitations of claims 14 and 23. However, **Hays** discloses such limitations as follows:

As for claim 1,

the fingerprint device determines which plurality of movements, when measured, will reveal the electronic fingerprint that is representative of the condition of the machine (Co. 8, lines 60 to Col. 9, lines 27-29; Col. 22, lines 14-24 and lines 37-67). As for claim 17,

determining, with the automation component, a set of parameters for measurement that will uniquely identify a condition of the machine, the parameters

Art Unit: 2125

associated with at least one component of the machine (Co. 8, lines 60 to Col. 9, lines 27-29; Col. 22, lines 14-24 and lines 37-67; Col. 12, lines 45-54).

As for claim 25,

determining, with the automation component, a set of parameters for measurement that will uniquely identify a condition of the machine, the parameters associated with at least one component of the machine (Co. 8, lines 60 to Col. 9, lines 27-29; Col. 22, lines 14-24 and lines 37-67; Col. 12, lines 45-54).

Regarding claims 14 and 23,

a maintenance scheduler for scheduling maintenance of the machine based on a prediction of a failure of the machine based on the electronic fingerprint (Col. 10, lines 48-56; Col. 20, lines 13-22; Col. 6, lines 20-35, i.e., performance signatures).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the vibration monitoring system of **Haseley** with the diagnosing system of **Hays** because it would provide an improved system wherein data may be used to provide maintenance and continuous monitoring of machine health (Col. 6, lines 16-35).

Application/Control Number: 10/646,402

Art Unit: 2125

## Conclusion

Page 8

2. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Zoila Cabrera, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3738. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. EST (every other Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by phone fail, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard, can be reached on (571) 272-3749. Additionally, the fax phones for Art Unit 2125 are (571) 273-8300. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist at (703) 305-9600.

Zoila Cabrera Primary Examiner 1/24/07

ZOILA CABRERA
PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100